SHINPOU ET AL. 10/621,335 Interview Summary Examiner Art Unit Gina C. Yu 1617 All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (3)*Dan Ev* (1) Gina C. Yu. (2) Sreeni Padmanabhan. Date of Interview: 02 November 2005. Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e)∏ No. If Yes, brief description: Claim(s) discussed: (1) Pending Identification of prior art discussed: Pacific Research Consulting, US5 571503 Agreement with respect to the claims f) \square was reached. g) \square was not reached. h) \square N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: _____. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet. Parties disrussed the ordstanding rejections (office action deded 9/9/05) and mays to overrome the rejections.

Application No.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required

Applicant(s)